

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			/4		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,492	02/11/2002	Frank W. Liebenow	450.163US2	4563	
21186 7:	7590 01/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
21100	AN, LUNDBERG, WO	DHARIA, PRABODH M			
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLI	15, MIN 33402		2673  DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/016,492	LIEBENOW, FRANK W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Prabodh M Dharia	2673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep I. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH latute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 2 October 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖾	4) Claim(s) <u>22-47</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>22-47</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 10-22-2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	I to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rrection is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

**Art Unit: 2673** 

### **Continued Prosecution Application**

1. The request filed on 10-22-2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/014,797 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 02-25-2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. IDS- 96/08811 PCT, 98/01804 PCT, "Display Data Channel technical overview" published by computer Access Technology Corporation, "Display Data Channel Standard" Video Electronic Standard Association, are not considered as requires a legible copy which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed.

#### Specification

3. The amendment filed 02-25-2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The specification ends on page 10 and page 11 list Claims 1-5.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2673

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the amendments to the specific paragraph on page 8, is the last paragraph on page 6 continue on to page 7.

Appropriate correction is required.

## **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 22-47are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,323,873 B1.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

a computerized system for keyboard control of at least one display device characteristic comprising: a computer having at least a processor, a memory, and a basic input/output system (BIOS); a display device operatively coupled to the computer; and a keyboard operatively

Art Unit: 2673

ļ

coupled to the computer for entry of one or more predetermined sequences of two keystrokes by a user of the computer, each predetermined sequence controlling a characteristic of the display device through the BIOS, wherein the BIOS in response to detecting the predetermined sequence of keystrokes operatively translates the predetermined sequence of keystrokes into a correspond display control command and sends the display device the corresponding display control command, wherein a first keystroke of the two keystrokes is a function key, and wherein the display device is responsive to at least one display control command from the BIOS, each display control command associated with a characteristic of the display device.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Beery (6,215,531 B1) Apparatus for controlling a television receiver.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prabodh M Dharia whose telephone number is 703-605-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-3054938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9341.

Art Unit: 2673

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

PD

AU2673

January 16, 2004

VIJAY SHANKAH PRIMARY EXAMINER